

M ORCHID S

ORCHID

newsletter

A newsletter for the members of the Maryland Orchid Society

September 2007



president's message

Tom McBride to Present Last Year's Show Table Results

This past year produced month after month of spectacular Show Tables laden with blooming orchids. Tom McBride, our Show Table chair, an AOS judge and one of our local orchid gurus, will present the Show Table cumulative results from 2006-2007 at our September meeting. If you wondered what Tom does with all of those pieces of paper we fill out when we put a plant on the Show Table, he keeps track of ribbon winners for every class and notes each member who puts a plant on the Show Table, loans plants for Away Show displays and puts in an exhibit or loans plants for a display at our annual Show. He will tell us who had a plant (or plants) on the Show Table the most times and who earned the most points/won the most ribbons in each class over the past year. He will give us the opportunity to pick out bonus plants if we earned points by bringing plants to put on the Show Table – and collect money if we earned points by winning ribbons!

This meeting always whets our appetite for more, bigger or better plants and starts off the year with an enthusiastic scramble for new plants to add to our collections. Tom will also talk about

what the Show Table judges are looking for each month and how to improve your chances of winning a ribbon.

Please note that we will be starting Show Table judging promptly at 7:30 pm this year in order to finish in time for our program – have your plants on the tables and forms filled out promptly (you can download entry forms from the MOS website in order to speed things up...!)

Ann Lundy

calendar

Next General Meeting

7:00 p.m. Show table set up
7:15 p.m. Education Corner
7:30 p.m. Judging begins

Sept. 20, 2007

8:00 p.m. Meeting begins
8:15 p.m. Program begins

The Maryland Orchid Society meets at the First Christian Church, 5802 Roland Avenue, Baltimore MD. Please bring in your flowering orchids for the show table!

National Capitol Judging Center

October 5, 2007 12:00 pm
National Arboretum, 24th and R St., NE Washington, DC. Sue Burgess, Program Director

NCOS Show and Sale

Saturday 9am-5pm
Sunday 10am-5pm
Monday 10am-3pm
U.S. Naval Academy

October 6-8, 2007

Next Board Meeting

The next Board meeting is scheduled for October 11, 2007 at 7 pm. Food will be served at 6:30 pm. Everyone is encouraged to attend.

3rd Annual Merritt Huntington Memorial Symposium

November 9-10, 2007
Ramada 1776, 725 Bypass Road
Williamsburg, VA. 23185

reminder

Recently a membership package with questionnaire was sent to members. If you have not done so already, now is the time to renew your MOS membership. Fees are \$20 per household and should be made out to the Maryland Orchid Society. Please mail your forms and check to the Maryland Orchid Society, P.O. Box 5651, Baltimore, Maryland 21210, or bring your payment to the next meeting. Thank you for supporting the MOS!

New Members

The MOS would like to welcome Angela Seavers and Roger White; Judith and Gary Hermann; and Suzanne and Kenneth Gaertner as new members.

IN
THIS
ISSUE

3

June Show Table Results

July Board Meeting Minutes

4
5

New Orchid — the Yosemite Bog-Orchid — Identified

Aphids on Orchids. Just when you thought it was safe.

show table > june 2007

Photos by Beng Light. Thank you, Beng!



Dtps. Queen Beer – Beng Light

Novice

1. *Dtps. Queen Beer* – Beng Light

Home Grown

1. *Den. laevifolium* – Bill Scharf
2. *Phal. Nob Hill* – Mark Robbins
3. *Vndps. Millie's Blue Heaven* – Jim Clever



Den. Roy Tokunaga – Les Kirkegaard

Greenhouse Under 200 Square Feet

1. *Den. Roy Tokunaga* – Les Kirkegaard
2. *Paph. Lady Isabel* – Lou Vadorsky

Greenhouse Over 200 Square Feet

1. *Stan. tigrina* – Cy Swett
2. *Ascda. Fuch's Gold 'Butter Baby'* – Barry Woolf
3. *Paph. hainanense* – The Adamses

Cattleya Alliance

1. *Enc. citrina* – David Smith
2. *Kir. Tropical Jewel 'Hihimanu'* – John Dunning
3. *C. (Cognac X Fort Mott)* – Les Kirkegaard



Enc. citrina – David Smith

Phalaenopsis Alliance

1. *Phal. Mystic Golden Leopard 'Cheetah'* – The Adamses
2. *Phal. Martinique 'Burma Jade'* – Jim Clever
3. *Dtps. Newberry Parfait 'Picotee'* AM/AOS – The Lundys

Oncidium Alliance

1. *Bak. Truth 'Silver Chalis'* – John Dunning
2. *Colm. Wildcat 'White Cap'* – Barry Woolf
3. *Onc. Sharry Baby 'Sweet Fragrance'* AM/AOS – Cy Swett



Stan. tigrina – Cy Swett

Paphiopedilum and Phragmipedium

1. *Paph. Saint Swithin* – David Smith
2. *Tie Paph. Susan Booth* – Mark Robbins
Phrag. Lucy Robbins – John Dunning
Phrag. Giganteum – Barry Woolf
3. *Tie Paph. (Almaud X Onyx)* – The Lundys
Paph. Wolunwense – Jim Clever
Paph. Susan Booth – Lou Vadorsky

Miscellaneous Hybrids

1. *Ascf. Cherry Blossom* – Sheryl Holdridge
2. *V. Hybrid* – Les Kirkegaard
3. *Masd. Maui Gold* – Bill Scharf

Species

1. *Lyc. aromatica* – Cy Swett
2. *Asctm. ampullaceum 'Nippon'* – David Smith
3. *Tie Masd. erinacea* – Bill Scharf
Liparis nervosa – Val Lowe

Miniature

1. *Ornithocephalus inflexus* – Bill Scharf
2. *Tie Pths. griesbachiana* – David Smith
Tipularia discolor – Val Lowe



Ornithocephalus inflexus – Bill Scharf

3. *Tie Phal. minus* – Jim Clever
Sedirea japonica – John Dunning

First Bloom Seedling

1. *Paph. Susan Booth* – Barry Woolf
2. *Phal. Purple Stones* – Jim Clever
3. *Tie Paph. Susan Booth* – David Smith
Phal. (Brother Pico X Brother Fortune) – Mark Robbins



Paph. Susan Booth – Barry Woolf

Fragrance

1. *Lyc. aromatica* – Cy Swett
2. *Enc. radiata* – Phuong Tran & Rich Kaste
3. *Phal. Ember 'Carmela'* – The Lundys

The Judges Choice of the Evening was *Paph. Susan Booth*, exhibited by Barry Woolf. The Judges were Les Werner, Joe Dockman, and Laura Sobelman. There were 146 plants on the show table, the largest number ever exhibited in a night!

More show table photos on page 10.

board meeting minutes

July 10, 2007

In attendance: Ann and Lee Lundy, Aaron Webb, Tom McBride, Hilda Sukman, Yuko Ota, Marilyn Lauffer, Gary Smith, Eric Wiles, Laura Sobelman, Valerie Lowe, David Smith, Bill Ellis, and Barry Woolf.

The meeting was called to order at 8:00 pm. The agenda and the MOS By-laws were passed out. There were no minutes available so they will be passed out by e-mail. Ann appointed Laura Sobelman secretary for the meeting.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Treasurer's Report

David will transfer \$20K from the society's checking account to one or more of the TRP fund accounts.

Controller's Report

See Show below.

Auction

Bill Ellis proposed budget of \$4,950 for this year's auction that will include facilities rental, the purchase of 200 orchids, publicity and other miscellaneous expenses. The motion was voted on and accepted. Most immediate action item is to reserve the armory.

Away Shows

Valerie reported that the budget for the away shows will likely remain the same as last year.

Membership

Marilyn Lauffer made many suggestions she plans to implement to increase membership. They are:

- sending out a membership renewal reminder campaign to members in the form of a letter, reminder to update contact information and email addresses, return envelope, questionnaire, and flyer;
- creating one consistent membership form, including the one on the web site
- implementing a membership card system
- handing out name tags and pins
- placing a membership renewal reminder in the newsletter

Marilyn also suggested that at the annual auction and show, a color "Become a Member" flyer be placed in the plant buyers' boxes to increase awareness.

Barry recommended raising the membership budget to \$350. Tom seconded the motion.

Program

Ann reported that Tom McBride will discuss this past year's show table results at the September meeting and hand out awards.

She also recommended the program budget be raised to \$2,750. Eric seconded the motion.

Show

Lee Lundy presented three fee proposals he discussed with S&L, the annual show facilities management company. The board voted on the proposal to pay S&L 5.5% of the gross or \$2,500, whichever is greater. Tom made a motion to accept this option. Hilda seconded the motion.

Lee also presented several potential options to increase MOS revenue or decrease expenses that could offset the S&L fee. They include:

- increasing annual memberships dues by 20%
- sending out fewer publicity postcards
- increasing donations to the show
- raising the banquet ticket price

Newsletter

The newsletter will continue to be broadcasted as an emailed link to the MOS website which can then be downloaded at one's leisure. Laura requested more help in keeping to the information deadline, which is the first Sunday of the month. Postcard meeting reminders will no longer be sent via snail mail unless we cannot get e-mail addresses for members.

Valerie questioned how to inform members of society-related information and events in the summer months when the newsletter is not published. It was agreed that during this year's summer months when no newsletter will be issued, Barry will send out the orchid-related information that arrived too late to be included in the June newsletter. In the future we will put a check-off on membership forms asking if members want this kind of information to be sent to them. Eric made the motion. Gary seconded.

Education/Activities

Eric has agreed to accept a combined education/activities committee chair position. As one activity, Valerie suggested a trip to the National Capital Judging Center and will check on which months are best.

Show Table

Tom proposed the same budget as last year. He also mentioned the show table is going well but finds it a challenge to get the judging done within the time allowed. He has trouble getting members to volunteer for judging and has gotten comments about the meetings running long because speakers go over their allotted time. It was decided to begin judging at 7:30 pm instead of 7:45 pm.

Valerie suggested that since members with perfect attendance on the show table and at the three away shows this year would have 14 points that Tom should round up the total for those members and give them 15 points for 5 plants. This suggestion was approved.

Other Business

Finance Committee

Ann appointed Hilda and Eric to the Finance Committee to perform a review of the society's financial books and records. Ann also authorized Hilda to procure the *pro bono* services of an acquaintance who is an accountant.

Job Descriptions

Gary handed out society job descriptions and requested feedback from all board members.

Mentoring new members

David suggested Eric take on the mentoring program as part of the Education Committee. Eric recommended we pair up new members with current members that have at least 5 years of experience. Tom noted that he talked to new members who said they were drawn to the meetings because of the friendliness of members as well as the activities.

House committee

Bill Soyke requests help in setting up and taking down tables and chairs.

Budget

To be approved at the next board meeting.

The next board meeting is October 11, 2007. Place to be determined.

Meeting adjourned at 10:30 pm.

from the editor



I'm sure you wait each month with bated breath for the MOS newsletter to come out, but it is not always an easy thing to put together. I am always looking for help with our illustrious newsletter—articles, suggestions, comments, constructive criticism, concerns, calendar events to list, or if you want to be spotlighted. If you'd like to help, please email me at sobelman@comcast.net. Don't be shy. You can see I look like a nice person and I haven't bitten anyone in a long time.

USGS Botanists Help Identify a New Orchid, the Yosemite Bog-Orchid

Released: 7/16/2007 11:21:08 AM

An orchid so elusive, 70 years elapsed after George Henry Grinnell collected the first specimens in 1923 before a new generation of botanists rediscovered its location in 1993. But the plant's identity remained a challenge to taxonomists. Now, two U.S. Geological Survey botanists and a colleague at the New York State Museum have identified the orchid as a new species, the Yosemite bog-orchid (*Platanthera yosemitensis*), according to a recent publication in the journal of the California Botanical Society, Madroño.

"The Yosemite bog-orchid is an example of how both historic and contemporary plant specimens can serve to inform scientists and managers about the biological diversity of natural reserves," said Peggy Moore, a USGS plant ecologist in El Portal, Calif., and one of the botanists who identified the orchid.

A botanical mystery sparked work by Moore and fellow USGS botanist Alison Colwell - they had noticed the anomalous distribution in the plant guide Flora of North America of a southern Rockies bog-orchid that was also reported from Yosemite National Park in California. Colwell and Moore are scientists and co-workers with the USGS Western Ecological Research Center and both are conducting research to support the science needs of the National Park Service.

Beginning in 2003, and building on the efforts of previous botanists involved in the search for this mysterious orchid, Colwell and Moore relocated the site where others had collected the orchid, mapped additional sites where they discovered it growing, and searched several plant collections (herbaria) to examine bog-orchid specimens. Then, in consultation with Dr. Charles Sheviak, Curator of Botany at the New York State Museum, they determined the orchid was a new, undescribed species.

"This group of orchids constitutes a notoriously complex problem, and it's only now after nearly 2 centuries of study that we are beginning to understand what the species are," said Sheviak, an authority on the group. "I've been studying it for 40 years and have described other new species of *Platanthera*, so I'm used to being surprised. However, to find such a strikingly distinctive plant in such a well-known locality is truly astonishing. The fact that it appears to be confined to such a small geographic area is furthermore unique among related species."

Yosemite bog-orchid is known currently from only nine sites within Yosemite National Park, all on the granitic upland south of Yosemite Valley, between the main stem and the South Fork of the Merced River. As the orchid's range is understood currently, it is the only orchid species endemic to the Sierra Nevada of California.

"The extreme small size of several of the populations puts them at risk of extirpation," said Dr. Niki Nicholas, Chief of Resources Management and Science at Yosemite. "Sensitive habitat as well as a delicate root system highlights conservation issues associated with this species."

With an inconspicuous wand-like growth form and tiny flowers, the plant can be easy to miss in meadows densely crowded with a wide variety of plants, including other kinds of bog-orchids. Taxonomists use several technical features to help distinguish Yosemite bog-orchid from other bog-orchids, including what a discerning nose might call its bouquet. Yosemite bog-orchids have a strong musk component that, according to the authors, has been



likened by various observers to a "corral of horses, asafetida, strong cheese, human feet, sweaty clothing, or simply disagreeable." The Yosemite bog-orchid may use this scent to attract mosquitoes or flies for pollination purposes.

Yosemite bog-orchid also keeps company with other endemics in the upland area south of Yosemite Valley, the authors noted.

This area, largely free of ice during the most recent glacial events in the last two million years, contains at least seven species of plants known only from the central and southern Sierra Nevada. These include Yosemite onion, Yosemite woolly sunflower, short-leaved hulsea, Yosemite ivesia, and Bolander's clover.

"What a delight to find that, in the 21st century, such gems await discovery, or, in this case, re-discovery, practically in our own backyard," said Colwell, a USGS botanist in El Portal, Calif. "Doubtless more such finds await us."

This work was made possible by the National Park Service Inventory and Monitoring Program.

The National Park Service cares for special places saved by the American people so that all may experience our heritage.

The New York State Museum in Albany, N.Y. is a cultural program of the New York State Education Department. Founded in 1836, the museum has the longest continuously operating state natural history research and collection survey in the U.S. Further information can be obtained by calling (518) 474-5877 or visiting the museum website.

You say “ay-fids” and I say “abh-fids” but no matter how you slice them the pesky suckers get bigger, bolder and badder with every attempt to rid them from your orchid collection. Here is another article by Paul J. Johnson, PhD, of South Dakota State University.

Aphids and Their Control on Orchids

Paul J. Johnson, Ph.D. Insect Research Collection, Box 2207A, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD 57007

Reprinted with permission from author. For more information: <http://nathist.sdstate.edu/ORCHIDS/Pests/Aphids.htm>

Aphids are among the most obnoxious of orchid pests. These insects are global and orchid feeding species are problematic in tropical growing areas as well as in commercial and hobby greenhouses in temperate regions. Rabasse and Wyatt (1985) ranked aphids as one of three most serious greenhouse pests, along with spider mites and whiteflies. These pernicious insects can show themselves on orchids year-around in warm climates, but seem to be mostly autumn and winter problems in temperate regions. Like most other orchid pests the most common routes into plant



collections is through either the acquisition of an infested plant or the movement of plants from outdoors to indoors. However, certain reproductive stages of pest species do fly and they will move to orchids from other plants quite readily. Because of

their propensity for rapid reproduction any action against aphids should be completed quickly while their populations are still small.

An aphid infestation is often detected by an accumulation of pale-tan colored “skins” that fall beneath the developing colony. These “skins” are the shed integument from the growing and molting immature aphids. All of the common pest species of aphid also secrete honeydew, a feeding by-product exuded by the aphid and composed of concentrated plant fluids, and is rich in carbohydrates. This honeydew drips and accumulates beneath the aphid colony. Because of the carbohydrates honeydew is attractive to ants, flies, bees, other insects including beneficial species, and sooty mold. Some species of ant will herd and protect from parasites and predators certain species of aphid to maintain a supply of honeydew.

On orchids aphids are found feeding on the buds and flowers, but also on other succulent new and growing tissues such as leaves, sheaths, and the rachis, peduncles, sepals and petals of inflorescences. Aphids

have sucking mouthparts that are inserted between, into, or through cells. Actual feeding is by extraction of phloem fluids. Plant damage is done by their mouthparts through repeated insertion and probing as well as fluid removal. Dead tissue zones and distortions develop as new tissues grow around the damaged area. Feeding on leaves and stems debilitates the plant and causes generalized yellowing. Feeding on buds and opening blooms creates distortions or death and drying of tissues. Infested blooms distort and decline rapidly, thus aphids significantly shorten a bloom period.

Many aphid species vector plant viruses. However, of 27 plant viruses reported from orchids only six are vectored by aphids (Lawson 2002) and these can be vectored otherwise. Not all orchid viruses may be vectored by aphids. For example, in a series of experiments Namba and Ishii (1971) were unable to confirm that the Cymbidium or Odontoglossum mosaic viruses were vectored by the fringed orchid aphid. Rather, mechanical transmission of viruses between plants remains the primary concern for growers. In California, Raabe et al. (2002) note that for Cymbidium and other orchids only Bar mottle virus was transmitted by aphids, the green peach aphid. Nevertheless, aphid transmission of cucumber mosaic, turnip mosaic, and bean yellow mosaic, and other viruses to orchids should be a concern to breeders and production growers.



Aphid Identification

With nearly 4500 species of aphid and more than 80 species known as crop and ornamentals pests worldwide, aphids can be a daunting group of insects to study. Even restricting our consideration to the dozen or so species that most commonly show themselves on ornamentals and do or may

feed on orchids in the home or greenhouse, it can be difficult to identify the species without assistance from an entomologist. For the most part the common species share characteristics that make them easily recognized as a group, and management and control methods are similar. However, for management and control practices for most growers it is essential to have familiarity with their life histories. As an example, the two most common greenhouse pest aphids, the melon aphid and the green peach aphid, are variably susceptible to pyrethroid sprays and occupy plants differently. Consequently, refined and specific management protocols increasingly become dependent upon accurate identification of aphid species.

In general, the pest species of aphids of concern to orchidists come in various shades of green, from light yellow-green to dark blue-green. Most aphids seen in the home are wingless, but as the colony density increases winged individuals will develop and migrate among plants to found new colonies. Greenhouse populations will have plenty of both winged and apterous forms. As insects, aphids have only six legs and a pair of antennae, the mouthparts are formed into a set of thin piercing stylets, the body is a pear-shaped ovoid, and pest aphids are immediately recognizable by the presence of a pair of short tubes (siphunculi or cornicles) protruding from the posterior upper portion of their abdomen. As noted, they have sucking mouthparts, so they do not chew.

In an important early study Pritchard (1949) recorded 24 species of aphid as pests in California greenhouses, but only a few species occur on orchids. Two of the more commonly reported species from cultivated orchids are the green peach aphid (*Myzus persicae*) and the cotton aphid or melon aphid (*Aphis gossypii*). The lily or arum aphid (*Aulacorthum circumflexum*) was reported from orchids in Connecticut (Anon., undated). The University of Hawaii Extension Service Crop Knowledge Master website (<http://www.extento.hawaii.edu>) lists the orchid aphid (*Sitobion luteum* as *Macrosiphum luteum*) and the fringed orchid aphid (*Cerataphis orchidearum*) as

the main aphid pests on orchids in Hawaii. These latter two species are distributed widely in the tropics, and may be in temperate region greenhouses, having disseminated on plants. Worldwide, Blackman and Eastop (2000) recorded seven species of aphid from orchids: *Aulocorthum solani*, *A. dendrobii*, *A. circumflexum*, *Sitobion anselliae*, *S. indicum*, *S. luteum*, and *Cerataphis orchidearum*.

Additional species may be pests as well but no comprehensive survey of aphids as orchid pests is available. Also, aphid taxonomy has improved considerably in the last 20 years so that aphid identifications of the past may be unreliable.



Life History

Among the most interesting aspects of aphids is the peculiarity of their ecology. In temperate regions many common aphids switch between primary host plants (such as trees or shrubs) and secondary host plants (grasses and forbs), and switch between reproductive modes. These habits occur frequently in native aphid species on natural hosts in temperate regions, as both the host and reproductive switching is a response to seasonal environmental changes and plant phenology. However, most species of aphid that are pests of orchids, especially in greenhouses and indoor growing situations, are weedy species and do not fit these stereotypes. Too, the common pest aphid species out-of-doors in warm climates will behave as if in a greenhouse (Blackman and Eastop, 2000).

Typically, aphids have six life stages: egg or embryo, four nymphal instars, and adult. Birth to reproductive adult may take a few as 7 days in some species. Depending upon the species and environmental conditions, especially temperature, there may be 15-40 generations per year. Of the two main pest species, the green peach aphid reproduces faster at temperatures in the low to mid 70's F, while the melon aphid prefers mid

to high 70's F. Reproductively, different species of aphids have some variation of the basic pattern of alternating between normal sexual reproduction and parthenogenesis, or reproduction without fertilization of eggs. Males of many species are unknown. Parthenogenetic populations of aphids are normal in greenhouses. The pest species of aphids also have generational telescoping, which is the phenomenon where the mother aphid is carrying both her daughter and grand-daughter embryos. It is these traits of parthenogenesis and generational telescoping that allows for the rapid population growth of aphids. Eggs are not laid in greenhouse populations. As each population of aphid increases in numbers of insects then crowding will induce development of winged females that will fly to new hosts. Obviously, with no males and no eggs, the continuous reproduction and population growth is important to consider for management and control decisions.

Aphids prefer the soft and succulent new growth of plants. An excessive use of nitrogen and subsequent growth of soft plant tissues will encourage aphid populations. Aphids are particularly troublesome when there are weeds or other plants that may be sources of aphids moving onto orchids. Although most aphids are host specific, the green peach aphid and the melon aphid are highly polyphagous, feeding on a wide variety of plants.

Management and Control

Aphids are controlled most effectively through good management of your growing environment. And both chemical and biological control methods are available for successful control in a wide variety of growing situations. It is self-evident that a familiarity with basic aphid biology is important for achieving satisfactory aphid management or control.

Many hobbyists prefer the use of chemicals that do not fit the traditional concept of a pesticide. Yet, there is a decided lack of evidence on the universal value of home remedies based on "household" chemicals for pest control. Expectations of home-based growers seem focused on perceptions involving ease of use, ready availability, comparable pest controllability, and reduced toxicity in relation to pesticides. An often used term is "organic", but this is a badly misunderstood and misused term, particularly since most of the home

remedies use chemicals that are manufactured and as toxic as pesticides. Further, the efficacy of home remedies is in doubt because of the tremendous variability of concoctions and an absence of reliable and unbiased assessments. Ellis and Bradley (1996) provide a good basic introduction to organic pest control.

Pesticides remain important tools in any grower's management and control options. In general, they are effective and inexpensive for the result gained. However, there are serious health problems when used in a cavalier manner, are general environmental hazards when not used or disposed of properly, may damage the plants, and their overuse quickly produces resistant aphid populations. The pest control needs of a grower of a few plants in the home are considerably different from those of a grower that is selling plants. It seems that the majority of home orchid gardeners are intent on eradication. In contrast, large commercial growers seek the more cost efficient management techniques, yet require pest-free plants for sale. Small and middling sized commercial growers fit someone in-between these extremes on all factors, including pest control. Evidently, not all pest control methods are satisfactory for all growing situations, and this is an important consideration when choosing management and control methods for aphids.

Control Methods

Sticky traps will capture the winged, or alate, aphids. Though normally used for monitoring orchid pests the inherent action of the trap does remove some of the pests. Use the standard bright yellow sticky cards that mimic and exaggerate the light reflectance of leaves. Housefly sticky strips will work, too, but are not as attractive to aphids. The cards or strips should be replaced every month or two as dust, water, and captured insects will reduce the effectiveness of the sticky material. Do not use yellow sticky traps when releasing biological control agents, unless you wish to remove these insects as well.

Isopropyl alcohol is readily available as rubbing alcohol in cosmetic and health areas at markets and pharmacies. Isopropyl is normally sold as a 70% solution and this may be diluted considerably for use against insects. A dilution to 35% with 2-3 drops of a mild dishwashing detergent per liter/quart of solution is effective against many insects, including aphids.

The orchid hobbyist should not expect isopropyl alcohol to eliminate an aphid infestation. Adult aphids may not be killed by the alcohol solution and remaining adults will regenerate the infestation. However, when combined with mechanical removal of the insects, rubbing alcohol is very useful in small collections where only one or a few plants may be infested.

Caution is urged in the use of isopropyl, with or without detergents or soaps. Although the foliage of most orchids is seemingly tolerant of such solutions, the flowers may not be so tolerant. Particular care should be taken with thin-leaved orchids, especially members of the *Oncidiae* whose blooms seem sensitive to isopropyl and detergents. For example, blooms of *Oncidium* species will darken, dry, and senesce quickly from an isopropyl application. Floral or foliar damage from alcohol is often delayed, occurring several to many days after application. Application during lower temperatures and sunlight conditions is recommended to enhance effectiveness and avoid damage from rapid evaporative cooling or sunburn.

Diatomaceous Earth is moderately effective for aphid control when dusted on plants. The sharp edges of the fossilized diatoms irritate and cut the membranes of the insects and they dehydrate. However, diatomaceous earth is not effective when wet and it readily washes from the plant.

Oils come in a variety of light molecular weight, narrow range or horticultural quality of many sorts that are suitable for pest control on orchids. Horticultural oils are generally highly refined mineral oils and work well. Neem and common vegetable oils are also effective, but vegetable oils often become rancid after application. Though this may not harm the orchid, it often smells foul if the plants do not receive a regular foliar irrigation. Garlic, citrus, and capsaicin oils also have insecticidal effects on aphids, but these should be used cautiously around pets. All oils control aphids and other pests by coating the insect, plugging their respiratory spiracles, and killing them by suffocation. Thus, the choice of oil makes little difference, but the application method and frequency does matter.

Oils should be mixed with water and a few drops of liquid detergent, the latter of which acts as an emulsifier. The oil must be allowed to spread over the plant and insects/mites for effective control. To avoid

damage to the plants do not apply oils in full sun, when temperatures exceed 85°F, when humidity exceeds 90% for more than 48 hours, or on open blooms. All oils must be used on a regular basis and every 10-14 days, depending upon pest and environmental conditions.

Insecticidal Soaps and Detergents.

Technically, soaps are highly alkaline potassium salts of fatty acids, while detergents are synthetic compounds that have similar chemical activity. However, soaps react with alkaline compounds containing sodium, potassium calcium, or magnesium, while detergents are relatively neutral and do not form the same reactions in hard water.

Insecticidal soaps are specifically formulated to be plant safe as well as effective and efficient agents for killing and controlling aphids and other orchid pests, particularly with home environments and small greenhouses. They are relatively safe, with low toxicity to people and pets, easy to apply, and generally lack the noxious fumes of other insecticides. However, they are only effective in their wet condition, not when dry.

Insecticidal soaps that also have synthetic pyrethrins included in the formula will usually also have piperonyl butoxide as an enhancing agent. Some people are highly allergic to piperonyl butoxide and there is some evidence of phytotoxicity. Too, repeated applications of insecticidal soaps during a short period of time can have phytotoxicity problems, so some caution is urged to avoid excessive use. As with other pesticides do not apply insecticidal soaps in hot weather, high humidity, or on otherwise stressed plants.

Throughout the orchid world there are numerous recommendations to either use dish soaps or such agents variously mixed with isopropyl or other substances. Great caution should be used with dish soaps. Although most, if not all, are effective against immature aphids they are also deleterious to the plants. The harsher detergents will remove the natural protective waxes of the plant cuticle. If dish detergents are used in any manner, then use brands that are known to have the mildest reactions with plants. However, be judicious in their use as different manufacturing batches will differ in their

chemical properties. A good rule of thumb is to not use soaps more than three times consecutively; allow the plants to grow and recover before additional applications.

Insecticides. There is a large selection of insecticides available for aphid control. Relatively few are available for use on ornamentals or in greenhouses and most of those have restricted use labels. Only the generally available insecticides and those usable on ornamentals or indoors are mentioned here.

The most popular and effective insecticides for aphid control are malathion, acephate, diazinon, and methiocarb. Oxydemeton-methyl (*Metasystox*) is an effective alternative in countries other than the United States where the chemical is no longer available due to a voluntary deregistration. All of these chemicals are broad spectrum and are effective on many pests other than aphids. The biggest drawback to these insecticides is that aphids are well documented in their ability to develop resistance to them. Consequently, their use is recommended only on a limited basis and within a rotational program with other insecticides having different modes of action.

Cinnamaldehyde is derived from the bark of *Cinnamomum* trees and is a contact poison. Synthetic formulations (e.g., Cinnamite, Cinnacure) are more commonly available. Effective control of aphids and other pests requires persistent wetting for at least 30 minutes. The chemical volatilizes quickly and degrades within a few hours. Powdered cinnamon, as commonly used as a fungicide, lacks sufficient concentrations of cinnamaldehyde and is ineffective as an insecticide.

Imadachloprid is used as a soil drench (*Merit*) or foliar application (*Marathon II*) to provide systemic action through the host plant. It has a long environmental life.

Pyridaben (*Sanmite*) is a metabolic inhibitor affecting electron transport across cellular membranes. Its effectiveness against aphids is still being tested, but it appears promising and has the dual benefit of being a miticide.

Abamectin is a mixture of avermectins that are compounds extracted by fermentation of the soil bacterium *Streptomyces avermitilis*. Avermectins are systemic in

action but they have an environmental life of only a few days.

Pyrethroids are synthetic forms of the naturally occurring pyrethrum; the latter lacks control ability on aphids. Pyrethroids effective on aphids include bifenthrin (e.g., Talstar), cyfluthrin (Decathlon), and fluvalinate (Mavrik). However, much like synthetic insecticides aphids are able to quickly develop resistance to regular and excessive use of a pyrethroid. There use is recommended only as needed. If aphids are persistent pests then a rotational program with at least two other insecticides of different modes of action will be necessary for sustained management.

Insect growth regulators, such as kinoprene (Enstar II) and fenoxycarb (Award), are synthetic forms of juvenile hormone which is highly important in insects at critical stages of their metamorphosis. The use of growth regulators interrupts the normal development of the insects, including orchid pests such as scales, mealybugs, aphids, and whiteflies. Growth regulators are registered for use in greenhouses and interiorscapes, and are regarded as safe for humans and pets. Their greatest effectiveness is on pest populations that are at low densities and comprised primarily of immatures. Established pests needing a quick control should be subjected to another method that will kill adult insects.

Azadirachtin (Azatin and Neemazad) is a plant derived (neem tree) botanical insecticide, that acts as a chitin inhibitor. Chitin is a primary compound used by insects and mites when developing their integument, or exoskeleton. Azadirachtin reduces the ability of the arthropods to properly develop an integument and causes mortality through incomplete development. There is little information available on this chemical for use on orchids, but it is available on a wide variety of ornamentals and is labeled for greenhouse applications.

Biological Control

Biological control, or biocontrol, of orchid pests is a natural control method that does not use pesticides. In fact, the use of pesticides concurrently with biocontrol agents is self-defeating. Rather, management of pests is accomplished by using natural predators and parasites to keep the pest population low. Biocontrol eradicates pests only under carefully manipulated conditions, and for all pests and conditions is most effective in

Some Aphid Chemical Use Recommendations

Chemical	Site
Abamectin	outdoors
Acephate	greenhouse, outdoors
Azadirachtin	greenhouse
Bifenthrin	greenhouse
Carbaryl	outdoors
Cinnamaldehyde	greenhouse
Cyfluthrin	greenhouse, outdoors
Diazinon	outdoors
Fenoxycarb	greenhouse
Fluvalinate	greenhouse, outdoors
Imadichloprid	greenhouse, outdoors
Insecticidal soap	home, greenhouse, outdoors
Isopropyl	home
Kinoprene	home, greenhouse
Malathion	outdoors
Metasystox	outdoors
Oils – hort, neem, veg.	home, greenhouse, outdoors

greenhouses. However, initiation of a successful biocontrol program requires the development of large populations of aphids to establish the biocontrol agents. In addition to an avoidance of pesticides, biocontrol users should not use yellow or other sticky traps while predators and parasites are active. Many of the biocontrol insects will be attracted to sticky traps.

Biocontrol of pests is a viable option for the home grower with a greenhouse, or commercial growers seeking an “organic” marketing niche. However, a completely aphid-free organically grown plant may require supplemental applications of insect growth hormone or other acceptable chemicals.

Aphid midge. The aphid midge (*Aphidoletes aphidomyza*) is a small insect and a member of the same family of true flies (Order Diptera, Family Cecidomyiidae) as the *Dendrobium* blossom midge. It is generally similar in its small and delicate size, dark color, long legs, and slender body. However, the aphid midge has a predatory larva that reportedly may feed on 10-100 aphids depending on the size of the aphids and the environmental conditions. The aphid midge requires high humidity and is most effective with at least a 16 hour day. The larva is a small, yellowish maggot, that is active on plant leaves and shoots where it seeks and attacks aphids. Apparently, this aphid predator is not particular about the prey species. The adult aphid midge feeds

on honeydew and other liquids, and is active under reduced light. Each female aphid midge may lay about 70 eggs on the surface of leaves. Pupation occurs in fragile cocoons in the potting media.

Lacewings. The common green lacewings (Order Neuroptera, Family Chrysopidae) familiar to most orchid growers and the brown lacewings (Family Hemerobiidae) are efficient predators of aphids, scale and mealybug crawlers, whitefly immatures, and other pests. There are many species of both green (*Chrysopa* and *Chrysoperla* spp.) and brown lacewings (various genera) useful for biological control, but only a few species of *Chrysoperla* are commercially available. These insects are very useful in greenhouses. Although the adults of *Chrysopa* and brown lacewings are predatory it is generally the larvae of both green and brown lacewings that are important for aphid biocontrol. Larvae are cannibalistic and must be widely spread in a greenhouse, and they are most effective in aphid predation as they grow. Yet, each larva may consume several hundred aphids. Adult lacewings are attracted to lights and will enter unscreened greenhouses.

Lacewings require only moderate humidity and temperatures. The adults feed on honeydew, sugar water, and other liquids, though some of the brown lacewings may also feed on prey. The spraying of sugar water may help to keep adult lacewings in the proximity of plants.

Ladybeetles. Certain ladybeetles (Order Coleoptera, Family Coccinellidae) are well-known predators of aphids, scales, mealybugs, spider mites, and other pests, but there are some pest species of ladybeetles, too. There are many beneficial species worldwide, but few are commercially available. The convergent ladybeetle (*Hippodamia convergens*) is one of the most common biocontrol species used for aphids, but it is best for outdoor use and large greenhouses. Also, the convergent ladybeetle will disperse widely shortly after release so that their use most effective in a greenhouse that contains a substantial aphid population. Inundating the aphids with ladybeetles will reduce, but not eliminate the aphids. The ladybeetles will remain among plants longer if sugar water is sprayed lightly on occasion to give the beetles an additional source of water and carbohydrate.

continued on next page

Convergent ladybeetles are a useful and effective management option with other biocontrol agents or judiciously used chemicals, such as kinoprene or pyrethroids. Convergent ladybeetles will also feed on other pests, such as spider mites, thrips, scales, mealybugs, and others, but other ladybeetles are best used for scales and mealybugs.

In recent years the invasive Asian ladybeetle (*Harmonia axyridis*) has distributed widely in northern North America. This species is also an aphid predator, but its use in greenhouses has not been evaluated. Unfortunately, this species has also shown itself to be an ecological and agricultural problem by deleteriously competing against native ladybeetles, disrupting natural communities, feeding on a variety of fruit crops, and becoming a serious nuisance and health pest when large overwintering populations enter buildings.

Syrphid flies, hover flies, flower flies.

Syrphidae is a large family of true flies (Order Diptera) with many species familiar to outdoor gardeners as small to medium-sized visitors to flowers. The adult fly typically hovers above the flower before settling to sip nectar or lap pollen. Most of the common syrphid flies have yellow and black bands, or brown patterns, that mimic wasps and bees. Syrphid flies are harmless. However, the larvae of some species are voracious predators of aphids. These larvae, such as *Scaeva pyrastris* who may consume upwards of 500 aphids during its development, are green with a yellowish-white stripe along the midline and narrowing toward the head. Bugg (1992) reported upon aphid feeding syrphids and noted that at least 49 species are known to feed on the green peach aphid. *Allograpta oblique* is a common North American species in many gardens.

Adults will enter unscreened greenhouses in search of flowers and females will oviposit near aphid colonies. Larvae are sensitive to insecticides and will be preyed upon by other predatory insects such as minute pirate bugs.

Parasitoid wasps. A number of parasitoid wasps (Order Hymenoptera, Families Aphidiidae and Aphelinidae) are used for the biocontrol of aphids. The more common and commercially available species are *Aphelinus abdominalis*, *Aphidius matricariae*, *Aphidius colmani*, *Diaeretiella rapae*, *Lysiphlebus testaceipes*,

and many others. All of these tiny wasps (< 1.5 mm) lay eggs inside the aphid and the wasp larva feeds on internal tissues. The effectiveness of these wasps is measured by noting the presence of tan or black colored aphids with large holes in their abdomen from which the wasp emerged. Aphid parasitoids are highly effective when aphid populations are low, but are extremely sensitive to insecticides and traps. Greenhouses should be well screened to prevent escape of the wasps.

Minute Pirate Bugs. Minute pirate bugs are true bugs (Order Hemiptera, Family Anthocoridae). They are dark brown and white, or black and white, small bugs (ca. 1.5-2.5mm in length) that are predacious on many small and soft-bodied arthropods, including aphids, spider mites, thrips, and whiteflies. Although most species are beneficial, two species, *Orius insidiosus* and *Orius tristicolor* are commonly used for biocontrol of aphids and other greenhouse pests. Minute pirate bugs do best with the humidity exceeding 50% and a pollen supply for supplemental feeding. The only drawback of minute pirate bugs is that they are generalist predators and will feed on other beneficial species as well as pest species.

Beauveria bassiana. This naturally occurring soil fungus is highly infectious to aphids and many other pests. Augmenting the natural population is effective for pest control if the proper conditions are maintained. The fungus must be protected from ultraviolet light, but this is usually accomplished with greenhouse glazings. Only moderate humidity is necessary, but a thorough spray coverage is essential. Infected dead and dying aphids will have fine whitish mycelia emerging from the body.

As with the use of predators and other parasites, *B. bassiana* requires several days or more to begin showing an effect on the aphid population. Fungicides should not be used within 48 hours of a *B. bassiana* application.

Final Considerations

Effective management and control of aphids requires effort on the part of the grower, particularly if cultural and biocontrol methods are used. The grower must be familiar with the identification and life history of the problem species, as well as anticipated predators and parasites,

and have an intimate knowledge of the growing conditions of their plants. Non-pesticide alternatives are more physically and intellectually involved. In contrast, pesticide use is generally less expensive and easier, requires less depth of knowledge and involvement, but retains all the inherent hazards. The most effective pest control is population management using combinations of environmental conditions, biocontrol agents, and different categories of pesticides.

References

- Anon. (undated). Orchid (Orchidaceae)[sic]. Plant Pest Handbook, Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station. <http://www.caes.state.ct.us/PlantPestHandbookFiles/pphO/pphorch.htm>. Last accessed: 09 Dec. 2002.
- Barry, D.W. (ed.). 1996. Greenhouse Pesticide Management. North Dakota State University Extension Service. <http://www.ag.ndsu.nodak.edu/aginfo/pesticid/publications/GreenH/gpm-1.htm>. Last accessed: 05 Jan. 2003.
- Blackman, R.L. and V.F. Eastop. 2000. Aphids on the world's crops: an identification and information guide, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 466p.
- Ellis, B.W. and F. Marshall Bradley (eds). 1996. The Organic Gardener's Handbook of Natural Insect and Disease Control. Rodale Press, Emmaus, Pennsylvania, 534 p.
- Hammond, J. and R.H. Lawson. 1988. A strain of bean yellow mosaic virus is aphid-transmitted from orchid. *Acta Horticulturae (ISHS)* 234: 365-370.
- Lawson, R.H. 2002. Viruses and their control, pp. 74-104. In J.B. Watson (ed.), *Orchid Pests and Diseases*, American Orchid Society, Delray Beach, Florida, 124p.
- Leondardt, K. and K. Sewake (eds). 1999. Growing Dendrobium Orchids in Hawaii, A Production and Pest Management Guide for Hawaii Growers. College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources (CTAHR), University of Hawaii-Manoa, 92p.
- Pritchard, A.E. 1949. California Greenhouse Pests and Their Control. California Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 713, 72p.
- Raabe, R.D., M.E. Grebus, C.A. Wilen, and A.H. McCain. 2002. Floriculture and Ornamental Nurseries Cymbidium Orchid (*Cymbidium* spp.) Disease Control Outlines. University of California Pest Management Guidelines, <http://www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/PMG/r280111011.html> [accessed 07 Jan 2003].
- Rabasse, J.M. and I.J. Wyatt. 1985. Biology of aphids and their parasites in greenhouses, pp. 66-73. In N.W. Hussey and N. Scopes (eds.), *Biological Pest Control: The Glasshouse Experience*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 240p.
- UCIPM. 2001. Integrated Pest Management for Floriculture and Nurseries. University of California Statewide Integrated Pest Management Program, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources Publication 3402, Oakland, California, 422p.

Photo Credits: University of Hawaii; University of California; Cornell University; P.J. Johnson, South Dakota State University.

Third Annual Merritt Huntington Memorial Symposium

Presented by an alliance of his orchid friends in Virginia

November 9 & 10, 2007

Ramada 1776, 725 Bypass Road, Williamsburg, Va. 23185

Preview Party: Friday, November 9, 6:00 PM – 9:00 PM

Linda Thorne: "A Tribute to Merritt"

Jeff Bradley: "The Early Orchid Legends of America"

Symposium: Saturday, November 10, 9:00 AM – 4:30 PM

Plants to be entered for AOS Judging by 8:30 AM

8:50 AM Welcome: Rob Griesbach, Moderator
9:00 – 10:45 AM Carrie Raven-Riemann: "Mini – but Mighty – Multi-floral *Phalaenopsis*"
10:45 AM - 12:15 PM Yin-Tung Wang, Ph.D.: "The Nobile *Dendrobiums*, from Hawaii to the East Coast"
12:15-1:45 PM Buffet Lunch (included in registration) and plant sales. Speakers and additional
& 4:30-5:30 PM vendors featuring various genera will participate in the sales area.*
1:45 – 3:15 PM National Capital Judging Presentation
3:15 – 4:30 PM Dr. Norito Hasegawa: "What's in the Future of *Paphs*?"

Symposium Registration (includes buffet lunch): \$35.00

Preview Party (heavy hors d'oeuvres): \$25.00

Ramada Hotel: \$69.00 room rate for Huntington Symposium

Early Registration suggested Due to Limited Space

First Name _____ Last Name _____

Additional Registrant(s) _____

Address _____

Phone _____ **Email _____

Preview Party Only Symposium Only Combination

** We prefer to forward confirmation and additional symposium information by Email

Check enclosed for \$ _____ Visa or Mastercard# _____ Exp: _____

Please make checks payable to Huntington Memorial Symposium

Return to: Dot Pierce, 917 Beryl Avenue, Virginia Beach, Va. 23464

Symposium: pierce.db@verizon.net

Ramada Hotel – For \$69.00 room rate ask for Huntington Symposium. Phone: 800-446-2848 or 757-220-1776

*For additional information go to: www.mycommunityis.com/tos/

Show table continued from page 2



Vndps. Millie's Blue Heaven – Jim Clever
Photo by Laura



Kir. Tropical Jewel 'Hihimanu' – John Dunning
Photo by Beng



Mill. 'Red Tide' - Hilda Sukman. Photo by Laura



Paph. (Almaud X Onyx) – The Lundys
Photo by Laura



Paph. Lady Isabel – Lou Vadorsky. Photo by Laura

in memorium

Charlie Geis (Charles R. Geis, Jr.), long time and Life Member of MOS, died on August 24 after a brief illness. He became a member of the Maryland Orchid Society in the late 1970s and ran the annual auctions and shows for a number of years, usually with the help of his four sons.

He built a greenhouse many years ago and grew mainly Paphiopedilums, both complex and primary hybrids. He had some unusual plants in his collection as a result of his friendship with Paul Philips of Ratcliffe Orchids in England and his son Steven's travels in Europe. In recent years he had joined with Don Cromer, Ernie Drohan and his son Steven to stage large exhibits at the MOS Show at the 4H Building in Timonium which were full of beautiful, well-grown *Cattleyas* and *Paphs*.

from the librarian

The policy of the Maryland Orchid Society is that books borrowed from the MOS library are to be returned in one month. If you have had any MOS library books over the summer, please remember to bring them with you to return them when you come to the September meeting.

Thanks.
Anne Minkowski
Librarian

Maryland Orchid Society

Officers

President

Ann Lundy
410-366-9365
aplundy@attglobal.net

Vice-President

Gary Smith
410-374-5811
garysmith@qis.net

Treasurer

David Smith
410-526-0179
fpsakes1@aol.com

Controller

Lee Lundy
410-366-9365
llundy@TydingsLaw.com

Secretary

Lori Lee-Young
410-833-6845
scuubadive@verizon.net

Past President

Barry Woolf
410-879-1654
Woolfphoto1@comcast.net

Directors

Aaron Webb
410-235-4062
awebb71574@aol.com

Eric Wiles
410-635-6023
winvet88@yahoo.com

Committees

Auction
Bill Ellis
410-549-1530
billellis@ellislist.com

Aaron Webb
410-235-4062
awebb71574@aol.com

Away Shows

Valerie Lowe
410-335-3522
vlowe@bcpl.net

Education/Activities

Eric Wiles
410-635-6023
winvet88@yahoo.com

Show

Bill Scharf
717-244-3695
bscharf@mskpartners.com

Sunshine

Hilda Sukman
410-332-1532
hrks1931@msn.com

House

Bill Soyke
410-444-5465
Wsoyke@comcast.net

Library

Anne Minkowski
410-323-3020
saskakempa@verizon.net

Membership

Marilyn Lauffer
jmlauffer@verizon.net

Newsletter

Laura Sobelman
410.363.1040
Sobelman@Comcast.net

Program

Ann Lundy

Refreshments
Barbara Buck
410-551-9374
BarbaraBuck@comcast.net

Show Table

Thomas McBride
410-661-4748
Tamcbr1de@aol.com

Hospitality

Yuko Ota
410-277-0677
yota@som.umaryland.edu

AOS Representative

Bill Ellis
410-549-1530
billellis@ellislist.com

Webmaster

Clark Riley
410-591-9201
DrRiley@aol.com

Visit us on the Web at www.marylandorchids.org
Maryland Orchid Society
P.O. Box 5651 • Baltimore, MD 21210